1	BEFORE THE
2	ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION
3	REGULAR OPEN MEETING
4	(PUBLIC UTILITES)
5	October 10th, 2018
6	Chicago, Illinois
7	
8	Met, pursuant to notice, at 10:30 A.M.,
9	at 160 North LaSalle Street, Chicago, Illinois.
10	
11	PRESENT:
12	BRIEN J. SHEAHAN, Chairman
13	SADZI M. OLIVA, Commissioner
14	ANASTASIA PALIVOS, Acting Commissioner
15	JOHN R. ROSALES, Commissioner
16	D. ETHAN KIMBREL, Commissioner
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Good morning. Are we ready
 to proceed in Springfield?

3 COMMISSIONER KIMBREL: We are.

4 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Pursuant to the Open 5 Meetings Act, I call the October 10th, 2018 Regular 6 Open Meeting to order. Commissioners Rosales, Oliva, 7 and Acting Commissioner Palivos are with me in 8 Chicago. Commissioner Kimbrel is in Springfield. We 9 have a guorum.

10 We have five requests to speak this 11 morning. As a reminder, you'll have 3 minutes for 12 your public comments. We'll let you know when you 13 have 2 minutes left, 1 minute left, and when your 14 time has expired. Please be aware that while the 15 Commission affords the public the opportunity to 16 comment we will not respond directly to your 17 comments. Your comments will be made part of the 18 record; however, we cannot rely on them to resolve 19 disputed issues of fact in contested cases. 20 Our first public commenter is Tom

21 Ogle. Mr. Ogle?

22 MR. TOM OGLE: Good morning. My name is Tom

1 Oqle. I'm a lifelong resident of Paris, IL, Edgar 2 County. I received my first notice of the Illinois 3 Rivers Project when I received a certified letter from Ameren on September 10, 2013. Ameren's letter 4 began, "Dear Property Owner, Ameren Transmission 5 Company has received authorization from the Illinois 6 7 Commerce Commission to construct a new 345,000 volt 8 electric transmission line through portions of Illinois. 9

10 Later, on Page 4, I read, "If the 11 company isn't able to reach an agreement with the 12 landowner to acquire the property interest necessary 13 to complete the proposed project, the company will 14 file a condemnation lawsuit in the Circuit Court 15 where the property is located in order to obtain the property interest that the project requires. 16 That's 17 when I started crying.

I come from a poor family of 10 children. I started working at a grocery store when I turned 16 years old. I worked straight through to better jobs right up to the day I retired. I never drew unemployment in my life. I always saved for my

lifelong dream of having a cattle ranch. I had our
new house built in 2008. This 345,000 project would
traverse a few hundred feet smack dab in front of our
new front porch. I thought there must be some kind
of mistake; and there was. This approved route was
submitted by intervenors from Clark County, south of
our Edgar County.

8 The more I learned about this new 9 expedited provision of the Illinois Utilities Act the 10 more I was determined to right the wrong that was 11 done to us. I refused to allow surveyors on my 12 affected property near my wife Donna and near my new 13 home. Ameren filed a lawsuit against me and was 14 granted only a soft survey, of which they abused.

15 On Page 115 of the Commission's record 16 under Community Acceptance it states, "In fact, it 17 continues no one has intervened along the unique 18 section of Stop Coalition Route 2 -- that's us --19 while ATXI witness Murphy could not explain the reason for this happenstance." It goes on to say, 20 21 "This lack of objection indicates an acceptance of 22 the project." I'll tell ya' it's kind of hard to

object if you're notified it's being moved several
 miles north of Ameren's proposed route.

3 On Page 119 of the Commission's record it states, "The number of occupied homes in each 4 5 route is a topic on which the Commission wishes it 6 had more information. The record lacks a count of 7 occupied homes near Stop Coalitions Route 2" --8 that's us. It goes on to say, "ATXI's claim that one or more homes along Stop Coalition Route 2 -- that's 9 us -- "may need to be raised is not taken lightly by 10 the Commission." 11

In closing, the record shows that Ameren abused the Expedited Provision by using an old Google Earth program and never a ground level assessment of our homes before the route was approved. Thank you.

17 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Thank you. Our next speaker18 is Vern See.

19 MR. VERN SEE: Good morning. I am Vern See, a 20 landowner in Edgar County where Ameren seeks right of 21 way for the Illinois Rivers Project. This house in 22 on the property I own. It was built in 1861. My

great-grandfather acquired it in 1896 when my
 grandfather was about 14. My dad was born here. I
 was raised here. The house has been empty since
 1993.

5 This the pond built my dad built in 6 1960 when I was 9. It took 11-hour days with a D-6 7 Caterpillar dozer. I remember playing with him and 8 riding with him in the dozer. My older cousin had a I wold ride with him down the ravine and up 9 Jeep. 10 over the dam. We love this property and share it 11 with other sport enthusiasts. Over the years many 12 people have fished and hunted here. Several still 13 do. No government money was used to build or stock 14 this pond. There was a small pond up the ravine that 15 had a fish population already established. After the 16 new pond had partially filled he cut the dam on the 17 old pond letting the water and fish in the new pond. 18 Many good times are enjoyed here without a hair 19 raising, hissing 345,000 volt power line overhead. 20 It has taken all of my effort to buy 21 out the heirs and save the family farm. The point 22 being, this is not a property we intend to sell and

retire in Florida. We intend to build a new home and 1 2 retire here. This story is not unique to my 3 property. Many of other have ties to their property that are not affected by money. 4 5 How have we been treated? We were 6 told by land agents hired by Ameren, "Ameren has 7 eminent domain. You should accept these offers". The offers were sub value with ridiculous demands. 8 9 I do not know how many days I've 10 missed worked to keep Ameren at bay. They came to 11 survey our property, and we denied them access. 12 Ameren got some type of court order to do a soft 13 survey, and hired protection, and forced their way on 14 our property. We should not be subjected to such 15 harassment. 16 Later they got a court order to an

appraisal of our houses and our buildings. Ameren
forced their way into my house with police at their
side. The police have been at my house. You cannot
imagine that experience.

I asked our United States
 representative for help. Guess what? Ameren has

1 inundated him with campaign contributions. Ameren, 2 ComEd, or some utility floods all the U.S. and state 3 congressmen money. I ask this panel to establish a guideline to use existing utility right of way or 4 5 state highway power lines. The current system of 6 turning left or right when they approach this 7 property owned by those with wealth or power should 8 be abandoned. When buy along a state highway, you know future expansion is a real possibility. We off 9 10 the beaten path do not expect such expansion to have 11 a huge impact on our lifestyles, incomes, and 12 futures. A standard should exist. Thank you very 13 much.

14 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Thank you, sir. 15 Our next speaker is Phillip E. Wright. MR. PHILLIP E. WRIGHT: First of all, thank you 16 for letting me speak to you today. My name is 17 18 Phillip Wright. I live in Symmes Township, which is 19 locate Edgar County, Illinois. And I am an adjacent landowner to the proposed Ameren power line. 20 21 I want to talk to you to about a

22 discrimination and common sense. Edgar County

landowners have been discriminated against in the
notification process of the proposed Ameren power
line. The definition of discriminate, according to
Webster's dictionary -- and you can look can it up -is, quote, "To make a difference in treatment or
favor on a basis other than individual merit".
We, the landowners of Edgar County,

8 were discriminated against my Ameren. We were not 9 given the same treatment as other landowners have 10 been across the state. Other landowners were given 11 noticed of the planned project, and we are not.

My question is, why? Why was Edgar County treated differently? Was it that we were the last piece of this misguided puzzle? Was there a time crunch that led to this disregard for protocol? We deserve the same treatment as all the other counties. Ameren failed us. The power line route should be reevaluated.

I also mentioned common sense. I am
not an engineer, and I don't try to be one; but I do
know that the shortest distance between two points is
a straight line. The proposed route through Edgar

County indicates 90-degree turns, randomly changing 1 2 direction. This type of engineering makes no sense. 3 Straight lines are more economical, easier to 4 maintain, and stronger than the twists and turns 5 proposed. If I build a cattle fence like this route, 6 my neighbors would think I'm actually crazy. So we are arguing about a plan that 7 8 was never fully revealed to landowners in a timely 9 manner, a plan that makes no economic sense, and plan 10 that will affect the lives of many longtime, longtime 11 residents of Edgar County. 12 All I'm asking you today is for 13 fairness and common sense. Once again, thank you. 14 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Thank you, sir. 15 Our next speaker is Chris Patrick. 16 MR. CHRIS PATRICK: Good morning. My name is 17 Chris Patrick. I live in Edgar County, Illinois; and 18 I am an affected landowner of the Illinois Rivers 19 Project. I live in a house that is only 5-and-a-half years old and on a farm that has been in my family 20 21 for 5 generations. My daughter, son-in-law, and 4 22 grand-children live in the family house just north of

my house that has been passed down for generations. 1 2 The power line's current path is 50 3 feet nor of my back door and south of my grandchildren's house, with the barns, machine sheds, 4 5 their 3 ponies, and calves in between. Ameren has 6 cut through the north one-third of the 178-acreage 7 family farm with a 138,000-volt power line years ago. 8 Now Ameren wants to cut, south, one-third of the farm off and pass through two homesteads with a 9 10 345,000-volt million power line. 11 There will 2,000-foot aircraft runway paralleling the proposed power line. This proposed 12 13 power line, if built, will dislocate two families, 14 make a horse barn and machine shed unstable, and 15 abandonment of a runway. 16 The legal costs of the affected 17 landowners and invasion of our property rights and 18 personal lives is nothing less than constitutional 19 and definitely unlawful. We are not notified. We 20 were denied our right of intervention. This is not 21 right, not in America. Thank you. 22 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Thank you, sir.

1 Our final speaker is Tom Martin. 2 3 MR. THOMAS MARTIN: Good morning. My name is Thomas Martin. I own one-third parcels of "ED 074" 4 5 and "ED 075" along with my two brothers Timothy and 6 Ronald Martin. We obtained "ED 075" in 1980. We obtained "ED 0774" in 2003. 7 In late November 2013 my brother 8 Ronald received an offer for land lease from contract 9 land staff representing Ameren. In my opinion, Tim 10 11 and myself should have received the same offer. Ιt never happened. I questioned why. Apparently, 12 13 Ameren and contract land staff were not sure. 14 My home is 68 feet by 28 feet; and the 15 metal building 24 by 36 is located near the line. 16 The reason I say Ameren didn't know is because Roy Wimp, Jr., contract land staff, made the statement to 17 18 Ron, "Looks like your brother just put a house back there." My house was built and finished in late 19 1997. That statement was made by Mr. Wimp to my 20 21 brother in the December of 2013. This tells me 22 Ameren made no pre-approved survey of the later

approved route. I question if this is typical 1 2 business by Ameren? I know the exact date Ameren 3 first discovered my home, January 28th, 2014, at 11:00 a.m. by a fly-over in a helicopter. I stood in 4 5 my driveway and watched. I am sure they saw me. 6 January of 2014 I received by notice 7 from Ameren of an approved power line, which did not 8 have any direct address on the envelope. It had Ron's address on it. I questioned, where was my 9 10 private notice? Ameren did not do the work necessary 11 to have the line approved so quickly. Wimp also 12 stated to Ron, "You might as well sign the lease 13 agreement now because we'll get it one way or 14 another".

Another example that Ameren did not do the pre-approval work necessary is, on April 8, 2014, contract land staff employees were in the Edgar County Clerk's office doing ownership investigations. Trey, contractor land staff, asked he County Clerk Office employees for death records of Ron, Tim, and Tom Martin.

22

I question why a line drawn up by two

housewives is better than an alignment drawn up by
 Ameren engineers? Thank you.

3 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Thank you. That concludes
4 our public comments. We'll now move into our Public
5 Utilities Agenda.

6 There are edits to the September 5th 7 Supplier Diversity Policy Session and September 12th 8 Regular Open Meeting minutes. There are no edits to 9 the September 14th Special Open Meeting minutes.

Are there any objections to approvingthe minutes?

12 (No response.)

13 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Hearing none, the minutes14 are approved.

15 Item E-1 concerns Ameren's filing to
16 implement measures against payment of a avoidance by
17 location for both its electric and gas customers.
18 Are there any objections to not
19 suspending the filing?

20 (No response.)

CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Hearing none, the filings isnot suspended.

1 Items E-2 and 3 concern amendments to 2 Administrative Code Part 421, 451, and 455. Are 3 there any objections to considering these items together and approving the proposed Orders initiating 4 5 rulemaking proceedings and authorizing the first 6 notice periods? 7 (No response.) Hearing none, the Orders are 8 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: 9 approved. 10 Item E-4 concerns Ameren's 11 Reconciliation of Revenues Collected Under Its 12 Electric Uncollectible Adjustment and Gas 13 Uncollectible Adjustment Riders. 14 Are there any objections to approving 15 the proposed Order approving the reconciliation? 16 (No response.) CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Hearing none, the Order is 17 18 approved. 19 Items E-5 through 7 concern Ameren's reconciliation of revenues collected under its Coal 20 21 Tar Riders. 22 Are there any objections to

1 considering these items together and approving the 2 proposed Orders? 3 (No response.) CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Hearing none, the Orders are 4 5 approved. 6 Item E-8 concerns a consumer complaint 7 against ComEd. Are there any objections to approving the proposed Order dismissing the complaint? 8 9 (No response.) 10 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Hearing none, the Order is 11 approved. 12 Item E-9 concerns Ameren's Petition to 13 Construct, Operate, and Maintain a New High-Voltage 14 Service Line in McLean County. 15 Are any objections to approving the 16 proposed Order granting the petition? 17 (No response.) 18 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Hearing none, the Order is 19 approved. 20 Item E-10 concerns ComEd's rate --21 formulate rate update. Are there any objections to 22 denying their Petition for Interlocutory Review?

(No response.) 1 2 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Hearing none, the petition 3 is denied, and the ALJ's decision is affirmed. Item E-11 concerns American Power & 4 5 Gas's petition seeking confidential treatment of its 6 annual report. 7 Are there any objections to approving 8 the proposed Order granting the petition? 9 (No response.) 10 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Hearing none, the Order is 11 approved. 12 Items E-12 and 13 concerns 13 Applications for Authority to Install Distribution 14 Generation Facilities. 15 Any objections to considering these 16 items together and approving the proposed Orders? 17 (No response.) 18 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Hearing none, the Orders are 19 approved. 20 Item E-14 concern ComEd's Petition for 21 Special Permission to file revise tariffs that aid the efficient interconnection of distributed energy 22

1 resources.

2 Are there any objections to granting 3 the special permission? 4 (No response.) 5 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Hearing none, the special 6 permission is granted. 7 Item E-15 through 48 concern 8 applications for authority to install energy efficiency measures. 9 10 Are there any objections to 11 considering these items together and approving the 12 proposed Orders? 13 (No response.) 14 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Hearing none, the Orders are 15 approved. 16 Item G-1 one concerns Consumer Gas's 17 reconciliation of revenues collected under its gas 18 adjustment charges. 19 Are there objections to approving the proposed Order approving the reconciliation? 20 21 (No response.) 22 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Hearing none, the Orders is

1 approved.

Items G-2 and 3 concern North Shore 2 3 Gas and Peoples reconciliation of revenues collected 4 under its Coal Tar Rider respectively. Are there any objections to 5 6 considering these items together and approving the proposed Orders? 7 8 (No response.) CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Hearing none, the Orders are 9 10 approved. 11 Item G-4 concerns Nicor Gas's 12 Reconciliation of Revenues Collected Under Its 13 Qualified Infrastructure Plan Rider. 14 Are there any objections to approving 15 the proposed Order approving the reconciliation? 16 (No response.) Hearing none, the Order is 17 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: 18 approved. Item G-5 concerns Ameren's Petition to 19 Construct Natural Gas Facility in Tazwell County. 20 21 Are there any objections to approving 22 the proposed Order approving the petition?

1 (No response.) CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Hearing none, the Order is 2 3 approved. 4 Item G-6 concerns Bolt Energy's 5 Application for Authority to Operate as an 6 Alternative Gas Supplier. 7 Are there any objections to approving 8 the proposed Order approving the application? 9 (No response.) 10 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Hearing none, the Order is 11 approved. 12 Item T-1 concerns Altaworks's 13 application for authority to operate as a reseller of telecommunications services. 14 15 Are there any objections to approving 16 the proposed Order approving the application? 17 (No response.) 18 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Hearing none, the Order is 19 approved. 20 Items T-2 and 4 concern applications for authority to provide resold commercial mobile 21 22 radio service.

1 Are there any objections to 2 considering these items together and approving the 3 proposed Orders? 4 (No response.) 5 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Hearing none, the Orders are 6 approved. Item T-3 concerns Etrali North 7 America's Petition for Voluntary Decertification 8 9 Are think objections to approving the 10 proposed Order granting the petition? 11 (No response.) 12 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Hearing none, the Order is 13 approved. 14 Item W-1 concerns Aqua's filing 15 regarding the Department of Health's rules on fire 16 service connections. 17 Are there any objections to not 18 suspending the filing? 19 (No response.) 20 Hearing none, the filing is CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: 21 not suspended. 22 Item W-2 concerns Aqua's petition to

1 purchase and operate a wastewater system in Kane 2 County. 3 Are there any objections to approving the Interim Order approving the engagement agreement 4 5 with an appraiser? 6 (No response.) 7 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Hearing none, the Interim 8 Order is approved. 9 Item W-3 concerns Aqua's petition to 10 construct, operate, maintain a wastewater system 11 Kankakee County. 12 Any objections to approving the 13 Interim Order approving the engage agreement with an 14 appraiser? 15 (No response.) 16 Hearing none, the Interim CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: 17 Order is approved. 18 Item M-1 concerns the 2019 Illinois Statewide Technical Reference Manual for Energy 19 20 Efficiency. Are there any objections to approving 21 the proposed Order initiating the proceeding? 22

1 (No response.) 2 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Hearing none, the Order is 3 approved. Item M-2 concerns an Amendment to 4 Administrative Code Part 200. Are there any 5 6 objections to approving the proposed Order initiating 7 a rulemaking proceeding and authorizing the first notice period? 8 9 (No response.) 10 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Hearing none, the Order is 11 approved. 12 Item M-3 concerns ENCOA's petition to 13 seek confidential treatment of portions of its annual 14 report. 15 Are there any objections to approving 16 the proposed Order approving the petition? 17 (No response.) 18 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Hearing none, the Order is 19 approved. 20 All right. Under Other Business, Item 0-1 concerns October 2018's Solicitation of Bids to 21 22 Sell Renewable Energy Credits from New Utility-Scale

1 Wind Projects to Ameren, ComEd, and MidAmerican. 2 Are there any objection to approving 3 the Procurement Administrator's recommendations on the selection of winning bids? 4 5 (No response.) 6 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Hearing none, Procurement 7 Administrator's recommendations are approved. Item 0-2 concerns the 2019 Commission 8 9 calendar. Are any objections to approving the 10 calendar? 11 (No response.) 12 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Hearing none, the calendar 13 is approved. 14 Judge Teague-Kingsley, do we have any 15 other matters to come before the Commission this 16 morning? 17 JUDGE TEAGUE-KINGSLEY: No. Mr. Chairman. 18 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Commissioners, do any of you have any other business you would like to discuss? 19 20 (No response.) 21 CHAIRMAN SHEAHAN: Hearing none and without 22 objection, the meeting is adjourned. Thank you.

1	(Whereupon,	the above-entitled
2	matter was	adjourned.)
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		